



KLK

HARDWOOD FLOORING

WLG European Oak Royal Classic

4 Lebuhr Perusahaan Kelebang 5,
IGB International Industrial Park,
31200 Ipoh, Perak, Malaysia

Global GreenTag
International EPD Program



EN15804+A2 2019 &
ISO14025 Compliant EPD
KLK012025EPD
KLK022025EPD

Issued 30 July 2025
Valid to 30 July 2030



Table of Contents

Item	Page Number
Mandatory Disclosures	3
Explanations	3
Program Description	4
Data Sources	4
LCA Data Quality	4
System Scope and Boundaries	5
Product Information	6
Whole of life Health Safety & Environment Performance	6
Product Components	7
Base Material Origin and Detail	7
Product Functional & Technical Performance Information	7
Whole of life Performance	7
Scenario Descriptions	8
Environmental Impact Terminology	9
Inventory and Damage Impact Result Categories, Units and References to Methods	10
Results Cradle to Grave within the System Boundary	11
Results for Module D: Beyond System Boundaries	12
Results Cradle to Grave within the System Boundary	13
Results for Module D: Beyond System Boundaries	14
Interpretation	15
References	16
Life Cycle Assessment Method	17
Data Sources Representativeness and Quality	17
Supply Chain Modelling Assumptions	18

Mandatory Disclosures





EPD type	Cradle to Grave	Issue Date	30 July 2025
Range Name	KLK Hardwood Flooring	Valid Until	30 July 2030
Product Name	WLG Oak Royal Classic 14mm	WLG Oak Royal Classic 22mm	
EPD Number	KLK012025EP	KLK022025EP	

Demonstration of Verification

Communication	This EPD discloses potential environmental outcomes compliant with ISO14025:2010 and independent external verification of this declaration and data ^a ensures it is fit for business-to-consumer communication. [1]
Comparability	Different program EPDs may not be comparable. Comparability is further dependent on the product category rules and data source used.
Reliability	LCIA results are relative expressions that do not predict impacts on category endpoints, exceeding of thresholds, safety margins or risks.
Objectives	To show improved, net-zero, net-positive and regenerative results and timely imperatives to secure viable climate and biodiversity on earth against a background of increasing disasters attributable to anthropogenic climate change.

EPD Program Operator	LCA and EPD Producer	Declaration Owner
Global GreenTag International Pty Ltd L38, 71 Eagle St., Brisbane QLD 4000 Australia Phone: +61 (0)7 33 999 686 http://www.globalgreentag.com	Ecquate Pty Ltd PO Box 123 Thirroul NSW 2515 Australia Phone: +61 (0)7 5545 0998 http://www.evah.com.au	KLK Hardwood Flooring Sdn Bhd 4 Lebuhr Perusahaan Kelebang, 5 IGBIIP, 31200 Ipoh, Perak Malaysia Phone: +605 291 1599 https://klkflooring.com/
 Global GreenTag International green product certification trust brands	 Ecquate building ecopositive	 KLK HARDWOOD FLOORING

PCR	Standard EN 15804+A2 2019 serves as core Product Category Rules (PCR) [1]. Sub-PCR FC:2022 V1 Interior Floor Coverings V1 also applies [3].
EPD Owner	This EPD is the property of the declared manufacturer tabled above.
Signed and Dated Demonstration of Internal and External Verification	

Internal	 20/07/2025  17 July 2025  08/08/2025	<p>Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) developed by Delwyn Jones, The Evah Institute</p> <p>LCA peer reviewed by Dr Sharmina Begum, Ecquate Pty Ltd</p> <p>Platform Operator review by Dr Nana Bortsie-Aryee, Global GreenTag International Pty Ltd</p>
External Verifier Statement	<p>I, the undersigned, verifier, hereby confirm my examination did not find any relevant deviations by the EDP owner, LCA report or PCRs based on EN 15804 2012+A2:2019 and ECO Platform agreed interpretations by CEN TR 16970. Company-specific, upstream and downstream data in the LCA & environmental features report files held at The Evah Institute were plausible and consistent. This verification applied Global GreenTag International adopted ECO Platform checklists and this EPD states where to find PCRs and programme rules.</p> <p> 31-07-2025</p> <p>Verified by Mathilde Vlieg Malaika LCT Pty Ltd</p>	
Explanations	Further explanatory information is available at info@globalgreentag.com or by contacting certification@globalgreentag.com [3].	

Program Description

EPD Scope	The scope is cradle to grave A1 to C4 + D as defined by ISO14025 & EN15804 [1&2].																		
System boundary	The system boundary with nature includes material and energy acquisition, processing, manufacture, transport, installation, use plus waste arising to end of life.																		
Stages included	All known operations and stages in modules A1 to D3 are included.																		
Information	Figure 1 depicts A1 to C4 modules inside this cradle to grave system boundary.																		
Model	Building Life Cycle Assessment															Beyond system			
Information	Actual					Scenarios													
Stages	Product			Construct		Use							End-of-Life				Benefit & load		
Modules	A1	A2	A3	A4	A5	B1	B2	B3	B4	B5	B6	B7	C1	C2	C3	C4	D1	D2	D3
Operations	Resources	Transport	Manufacture	Transport	Construct	Use	Maintain	Repair	Replace	Refurbish	Energy use	Water use	Demolish	Transport	Process Waste	Disposal	Reuse	Recovery	Recycling
Cradle to Grave																			
Fate C ₂ F & beyond system to Cradle (C ₂ C)																			
C ₂ F	M	M	M	M	M	M	M	M	M	M	M	M	M	M	M	M	0	0	0
C ₂ Gate+Options	M	M	M	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Figure 1 Within the Cradle to Grave System Boundary and D Beyond

Data Sources

Primary Data	Data is from primary sources 2018 to 2023 including manufacturer and supplier standards, logistics, technology, market share and management system in accordance with EN ISO 14044:2006, 4.3.2. All are physically allocated not economically allocated.
A1-A3 Stage inclusions	Operations include all known raw material acquisition, refining and processing plus scrap or material reuse from prior systems; electricity generated from all sources with extraction, refining & transport plus secondary fuel energy and recovery processes. Also, transport to factory gate; manufacture of inputs, ancillary material, product, packaging, maintenance, replacement plus flows leaving at end-of-waste boundary and
Variability	Significant differences of average LCIA results are declared.
Chemicals of Concern	Contains no substances in the European Chemicals Agency "Authorised or Candidate Lists of Substances of Very High Concern (SVHCs)".

LCA Data Quality

Data quality parameters are tabled below. Data was <10 years, cut-off & quality complies with ISO14025. [1]

Background	Data Quality	Parameters and Uncertainty (U)			
Correlation	Metric σg	U ±0.01	U ±0.05	U ±0.10	U ±0.20
Reliability	Reporting	Site Audit	Expert verify	Region	Sector
	Sample	>66% trend	>25% trend	>10% batch	>5% batch
Completion	Including	>50%	>25%	>10%	>5%
	Cut-off	0.01%w/w	0.05%w/w	0.1%w/w	0.5%w/w
Temporal	Data Age	<3 years	≤5 years	<7.5 years	<10 years
	Duration	>3 years	<3 years	<2 years	1 year
Technology	Typology	Actual	Comparable	In Class	Convention
Geography	Focus	Process	Line	Plant	Corporate
	Range	Continent	Nation	Plant	Line
	Jurisdiction	Representation is Global. Australasia and Pacific Rim			

System Scope and Boundaries

Figure 2. shows included processes in a cradle to grave system boundary to end of life fates reuse, recycling, or landfill grave.

Stages A1 to 3 model actual operations to acquire, refine, transport, fabricate, coat, use, clean, repair, reuse and dispose of metal, masonry, ceramic, timber, glass, plastic and composites.

Stage A4 to C4 are modelled on typical scenarios to forecast operations including those of:

- Mining, extracting and refining resources to make commodities and packaging;
- Acquiring, cultivating, harvesting, extracting, refining produce and biomass;
- Fuel production to supply power and process energy and freight;
- Chemicals use in processing resources, intermediates and ancillaries;
- Process energy, fuel and freight of resources, intermediates and ancillaries;
- Use, cleaning, recoating, repair, recycling, re-use and landfill, as well as
- Infrastructure process energy transformed and material wear loss e.g. tyres.




Figure 2. Product Process Flow Chart

Product Information

This section provides data required to calculate assessment results factoring different mass and periods.

Manufacturer	KLK Hardwood Flooring	
Factory address	4 Lebuhr Perusahaan Kelebang 5, IGB International Industrial Park, 31200 Ipoh, Perak, Malaysia	
Site representation	Made in Europe and Malaysia. Uses are assumed as for Australasia.	
Time	Made and sold in 2024 for single use	
Application	Commercial, Residential, Industrial building floor covering	
Function	Interior dry area floor covering	
Factory warranty	Fit for purpose use, 25 years only	
Lifetime	Residential and commercial refits vary but 20 year life is assumed typical.	
Range Names	KLK Hardwood Flooring	KLK Hardwood Flooring
EPD Number	KLK012025EP	KLK022025EP
Brand Name & Code	WLG Oak Royal Classic 14mm thick	WLG Oak Royal Classic 22mm thick
Declared unit	WLG Oak Royal Classic flooring 2.45kg/m ² coverage	WLG Oak Royal Classic flooring 3.62kg/m ² coverage
Functional unit	Declared product at given coverage per kg 20-year use cradle to grave	Declared product at given coverage per kg 20-year use cradle to grave

Whole of life Health Safety & Environment Performance

This section provides qualitative information on Health Safety & Environment whole of life performance.

Environmental Health Effects	No potential in-use impacts on environment or health are known.
Health Safety & Environment	Apart from compliance to occupational and workplace health safety and environmental laws no additional personal protection is considered essential for manufacture, use or reuse.
Health Protection	The product does not contain levels of carcinogenic, toxic or hazardous substances that warrant ecological or human health concern cradle to grave. It passed the Eco specifier Cautionary Assessment Process (ESCAP) and no issues or red-light concerns existed for product human or ecological toxicity.
Environmental Protection	Continuous improvement under the maker's uncertified management system avoids toxics, waste and pollution plus reduce their material and energy use.

Product Components

This section summarises factory components, packaging functions, source nation and % mass share.

Base Material Origin and Detail

This section lists Oak Royal Classic key components by function, type, sources and % mass share.

Function	Component	Source	Amount%	
EPD Number			KLK012025	KLK022025EP
Core	Spruce Board	Sweden	>53 <55	>68 <70
Top	European Oak Board	France	>32 <34	>22 <24
Binder	Urea Formaldehyde (UF)	Singapore	>8.0 <9.0	>5.0 <6.0
Veneer	Back Spruce Veneer	Finland	>2.5 <3.0	>1.5 <2.5
Coatings	Mixed Polymers	Sweden	>2.5 <3.5	>1.5 <2.5
Function	Component	Source	Amount%	
Liner	Polymer	EU	>2.5 <3.0	
Wrap	Stretch polymer	EU	>2.5 <3.0	
Strapping	Polymer	EU	>1.5 <2.0	
Wrap	Card & paper	EU	>0.3 <0.5	

Product Functional & Technical Performance Information

This section provides manufacturer specifications and additional information.

Applicable Standards	
Length*Width (mm)	Varied formats and dimensions
Standard Reference	https://klkflooring.com/
Practices Reference	https://klkflooring.com/

Whole of life Performance

This section provides qualitative information on whole of life performance.

Material quality	>95% PEFC and Global GreenTag International certified sustainable lumber.
Finishes	Various non-slip finishes
Effluent	LCI results and ESCAP raised no red-light concerns in emissions to water ¹ .
Waste	Cradle to grave waste to landfill was non-hazardous.
Disposal	Assumed 90% reused in situ, 5% recovered for reuse, 5% recycled.

¹ According with national standards in ANZECC Guideline For Fresh & Marine Water Quality (2000)

Scenario Descriptions

This section defines modelling stages scenarios A4 to D3 beyond actual operations in module A1 to A3.

Module	Type specified	Amount	Type specified	Amount
Construct				
A4 Transport factory to depot then to site	Sea Shipping	13,000	85% Capacity	Full back load
	Interstate Rail	1,300 km	85% Capacity	Full back load
	25t semi-trailer	200 km	85% Capacity	No back load
A5 Install	VOCs indoors	0%	Packaging & Waste	0%
Building Modules				
B1 Use	VOCs	0%	No other flows	0%
B2 Maintain	fit for purpose	100%	fit for purpose	0%
B3 Repair	fit for purpose	95%	Repair damaged Repaint 8 yearly	5% 100%
B4 Replace	fit for purpose	100%	No other flows	0%
B5 Refurbish	fit for purpose	100%	fit for purpose	100%
B6 Energy use	off grid	100%	Solar and wind energy	100%
B7 Water use	off grid	100%	Rain and dew	100%
End of Life Modules				
C1 Demolish	fit for purpose	100%	No other flows	0%
C2 Transport	fit for purpose	100%	No other flows	0%
C4 Disposal	fit for purpose	100%	No other flows	0%
Beyond System Boundary Modules				
D1 Reuse	fit for purpose	90%	No other flows	0%
D2 Recover	fit for purpose	5%	No other flows	0%
D3 Recycle	fit for purpose	5%	No other flows	0%

Environmental Impact Terminology

Environmental impacts contributing to risks of social and ecological issues and collapse are tabled below with common names and remedies given for each indicator.

Global warming forcing Climate Change	Greenhouse gases absorb infra-red radiation. This heat reduces thermal energy differentials, from equator to poles, forcing ocean current and wind circulation to blend and regulate climate. Weakly blended “lumpier” weather has more frequent, extreme heat wave, fire-storm, cyclone, rain-storm, flood and blizzard events. Accumulation of carbon dioxide, natural gas methane, nitrous oxides and volatile organic compounds from burning fossil fuels causes global warming. Forest and wilderness growth absorbing air-borne carbon in biomass can drawdown such accumulation. Urgent renewable energy reliance is vital in time to avoid imminent tipping points and the worsening “ climate emergency ”.
Ozone layer depletion	Stratospheric ozone loss weakens the planet’s solar shield so more shorter wavelength ultraviolet (UVB) light reaching earth damages plants and increases malignant melanoma and skin cancer in humans and animals. Chlorofluorocarbons, hydrochlorofluorocarbons (HCFC), chlorobromomethane, hydrobromofluorocarbons, carbon tetrachloride, methyl chloroform, methyl bromide and halon gas cause ozone layer loss. To repair the “ ozone hole ” reliance on ozone-safe refrigerants, aerosols and solvents is essential to avoid further its depletion and enable accumulation of naturally-formed ozone.
Acidification	Acidification reduces soil and waterway pH, impedes nitrogen fixation vital for plant growth and inhibits natural decomposition. It increases rates and incidence of fish kills, forest loss and deterioration of buildings and materials. Chief synthetic causes of “ acid rain ” are emissions of sulphur and nitrogen oxides, hydrochloric and hydrofluoric acids and ammonia from burning fossil fuels polluting precipitation of rain and snow world-wide.
Eutrophication of terrestrial, freshwater and marine life	Eutrophication from excessively high macronutrient levels added to natural waters promotes excessive plant growth that severely reduces oxygen, water and habitat security for aquatic and terrestrial organisms across related ecosystems. Chief synthetic cause of “ algal blooms ” is nitrogen (N, NO _x , NH ₄) and phosphorus (P, PO ₄ ³⁻) in rain run-off over-fertilised land catchments.
Photochemical ozone creation	Tropospheric photochemical ozone, called “ summer smog ” near ground level, is created from natural and synthetic compounds in UV sunlight. Low concentration smog damages vegetation and crops. High concentration smog is hazardous to human health. Chief synthetic causes are nitrogen oxides, carbon monoxide and volatile organic compounds (VOC) pollutants. Avoiding reliance on dirtiest coal fuel and volatile chemicals has reduced smog incidence in many areas globally.
Depletion of minerals, metals & water	Abiotic depletion of finite mineral resources increases time, effort and money required to obtain more resources to the point of extinction of naturally viable reserves. This can limit access to available, valuable and scarce elements vital for human-life. The youth movement “ extinction rebellion ” calls on adults to secure climate, reserves and biodiversity for current and future generations.
Depletion of fossil fuel reserves	Abiotic depletion of resources by consuming finite oil, natural gas, coal and yellowcake fossil fuel reserves leaves current and future generations suffering limited available, accessible, plentiful, essential valuable as well as scarce raw material, medicinal, chemical, feedstock and fuel stock. Approaching “ peak oil ” acknowledged fossil fuel reserves are finite and the need for decision-makers to act to avoid market instability, insecurity and or oil and gas wars.

Inventory and Damage Impact Result Categories, Units and References to Methods

This section summarises impact and inventory result units with descriptions and references to methods.

Impact & Inventory Results/Functional Unit

Result		Units	Description of Methods
Climate Change biogenic	GWP _{BIO}	kg CO _{2eq}	GWP sequestered from air [4]
Climate Change luluc	GWP _{LULUC}	kg CO _{2eq}	GWP land use & change (luluc) [4]
Climate Change fossil	GWP _{FF}	kg CO _{2eq}	GWP fossil fuels [4]
Climate Change total	GWP _{TOTAL}	kg CO _{2eq}	Global Warming Potential [4]
Stratospheric Ozone Depletion	ODP	kg CFC _{11e}	Stratospheric Ozone Loss [5]
Photochemical Ozone Creation	POCP	kg NVOC _e	Summer Smog [6]
Acidification Potential	AP	mol H ⁺ _{eq}	Accumulated Exceedance [7]
Eutrophication Freshwater	EP _{FRESH}	kg P _{eq}	Excess freshwater nutrients [8]
Eutrophication Marine	EP _{MARINE}	kg N _{eq}	Excess marine nutrients [9]
Eutrophication Terrestrial	EP _{LAND}	mol N _{eq}	Excess nutrients to land [8]
Fossil Depletion	ADP _{MIN}	kg Sb _{eq}	Abiotic Depletion minerals [9]
Mineral and Metal Depletion	ADP _{FF}	MJ _{ncv}	Abiotic Depletion fossil fuel [10]
Water Scarcity Depletion	WDP	m ³ WDP _{eq}	Water Deprivation Scarcity [11,12]
Input flows	Input		
Net Fresh Water Use	FW	m ³	Lake, river, well & town water
Secondary Material	SM	kg	Post-consumer recycled (PCR)
Secondary Renewable Energy Use	RSF	MJ _{ncv}	PCR biomass burnt
Secondary Fossil Energy Use	NRSF	MJ _{ncv}	PCR fossil-fuels burnt
Primary Renewable Feedstock Material	PERM	MJ _{ncv}	Biomass retained material
Primary Renewable Energy Used	PERE	MJ _{ncv}	Biomass fuels burnt
Total Primary Renewable Energy	PERT	MJ _{ncv}	Biomass burnt + retained
Primary Fossil Feedstock Material	PENRM	MJ _{ncv}	Fossil feedstock retained
Primary Fossil Energy Use	PENRE	MJ _{ncv}	fossil-fuel used or burnt
Total Primary Fossil Energy Use	PENRT	MJ _{ncv}	Fossil feedstock & fuel use
Output flows	Output		
Hazardous Waste Disposed	HWD	kg	Reprocessed to contain risks
Non-hazardous Waste Disposed	NHWD	kg	Municipal landfill facility waste
Radioactive Waste Disposed	RWD	kg	Most ex nuclear power stations
Components For Reuse	CRU	kg	Product scrap for reuse as is
Material For Recycling	MFR	kg	Factory scrap to remanufacture
Material For Energy Recovery	MFE	kg	Factory scrap use as fuel
Exported Energy Electrical	EEE	MJ _{ncv}	Uncommon for building products
Exported Energy Thermal	EET	MJ _{ncv}	Uncommon for building products

Results Cradle to Grave within the System Boundary

Table 1 lists A1 Resources, A2 Transport, A3 Manufacture, A4 Delivery, A5 Construct, B2 Maintain, B3 Repair, C1 Demolish, C2 Transport and C4 Disposal results. Modules B1 Use, B4 Replace, B5 Refurbish, B6 Water use, B7 energy use and C3 Processing waste had no flows or result.

Table 1 Impact & Input and Output Results/kg Functional Unit

Impacts	A1-3	A4	A5	B2	B3	C1	C2	C4
GWP_{BIO}	-2.1	-3.7E-06	-0.11	-0.10	-1.7E-04	-7.0E-06	-5.4E-07	-4.1E-06
GWP_{LULUC}	0.13	1.8E-03	6.4E-03	6.5E-06	8.6E-03	1.0E-08	7.9E-10	6.0E-05
GWP_{FF}	4.0	0.17	0.20	0.71	9.4E-02	1.9E-03	6.1E-03	1.5E-02
GWP_{total}	1.9	0.17	0.10	0.61	9.2E-02	1.8E-03	6.1E-03	1.4E-02
ODP	2.9E-08	2.7E-13	1.4E-09	3.1E-09	8.9E-10	7.0E-17	1.1E-13	1.9E-12
POCP	1.5E-02	5.7E-04	7.6E-04	2.9E-03	2.8E-04	7.1E-06	6.0E-05	7.8E-05
AP	6.1E-03	6.7E-05	3.0E-04	1.2E-03	1.0E-04	3.2E-06	5.1E-06	2.6E-05
EP_{FRESH}	5.9E-05	1.5E-09	2.9E-06	6.4E-07	1.3E-08	3.5E-13	3.1E-10	6.9E-10
EP_{MARINE}	1.2E-03	1.0E-05	5.8E-05	2.0E-04	1.7E-05	1.0E-05	9.4E-07	4.7E-06
EP_{LAND}	1.8E-02	3.4E-05	9.1E-04	1.4E-03	7.8E-05	3.8E-06	3.2E-06	2.2E-05
ADP_{MIN}	1.1E-03	1.1E-05	0.12	0.52	5.7E-06	9.2E-12	4.0E-06	1.3E-06
ADP_{FF}	2.4	0.20	5.6E-05	3.1E-04	6.6E-02	7.9E-04	7.5E-03	1.1E-02
WDP	3.9E-03	1.6E-05	1.9E-04	9.7E-03	3.8E-05	5.8E-07	1.4E-06	4.7E-05
Inputs								
FW	2.4E-02	1.0E-04	1.2E-03	6.0E-02	2.3E-04	5.1E-07	8.7E-06	2.9E-04
SM	0	2.6E-06	0	0	0	7.7E-17	5.9E-07	5.0E-02
RSF	0.25	2.4E-06	0.44	0	8.5E-03	1.6E-05	1.7E-06	0
NRSF	0.33	1.1E-03	1.6E-02	1.7	2.0E-03	2.9E-04	9.2E-05	3.1E-04
PERM	24	3.7E-03	1.2	4.2E-02	5.7E-02	2.0E-03	1.9E-03	1.8E-04
PERE	2.4	4.5E-03	0.12	1.1	3.2E-02	3.9E-10	4.8E-04	4.8E-04
PERT	27	8.2E-03	1.3	1.1E+00	8.9E-02	2.0E-03	2.4E-03	6.6E-04
PENRM	11	0.97	0.54	1.7	9.2E-02	2.0E-03	2.0E-04	3.8E-03
PENRE	42	2.6	2.1	7.4	0.43	2.5E-04	3.7E-02	7.4E-02
PENRT	53	2.6	2.6	9.1	0.52	1.6E-02	6.3E-02	3.2E-02
Outputs								
HWD	2.0E-02	2.0E-04	9.8E-04	9.9E-04	4.5E-05	6.5E-08	1.2E-05	1.4E-05
NHWD	0.13	1.7E-03	6.5E-03	9.9E-02	0	1.7E-06	9.6E-05	0
RWD	4.6E-16	1.1E-31	2.3E-17	2.5E-17	2.7E-18	2.6E-13	5.1E-32	1.5E-20
CRU	9.7E-11	1.0E-05	4.8E-12	0	1.0E-06	8.1E-07	1.0E-05	3.2E-11
MFR	6.2E-03	8.8E-06	0.00	7.6E-02	1.4E-03	2.0E-05	3.9E-06	3.4E-04
MER	1.1E-04	3.4E-07	5.6E-06	3.4E-05	2.0E-07	1.8E-03	1.5E-07	5.0E-08
EEE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EET	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Results for Module D: Beyond System Boundaries

Table 2 has results for benefit and loads in D1 reuse, D2 recovery and D3 recycling.

Table 2 D1 to D3 Impact & Inventory Results/Functional Unit

Result	D1	D2	D3
Climate Change biogenic	1.9	0.11	0.11
Climate Change luluc	-1.1E-01	-6.4E-03	-6.4E-03
Climate Change fossil	1.3	-0.07	-0.07
Climate Change total	3.1	2.8E-02	2.8E-02
Stratospheric Ozone Depletion	-2.1E-08	-1.2E-09	-1.2E-09
Photochemical Ozone Creation	-8.3E-03	-4.6E-04	-4.6E-04
Acidification Potential	-2.1E-03	-1.1E-04	-1.1E-04
Eutrophication Freshwater	-2.8E-06	-1.6E-07	-1.6E-07
Eutrophication Marine	-5.4E-04	-3.0E-05	-3.0E-05
Eutrophication Terrestrial	-2.1E-02	-1.2E-03	-1.2E-03
Mineral and Metal Depletion	-1.0E-03	-5.8E-05	-5.8E-05
Fossil Depletion	-2.1	-0.12	-0.12
Water Scarcity Depletion	-1.5E-03	-8.4E-05	-8.4E-05
Inputs			
Net Fresh Water Use	-9.3E-03	-5.2E-04	-5.2E-04
Secondary Material	0	0	0
Secondary Renewable Fuel	-7.8	-0.44	-0.44
Secondary Non-renewable Fuel	-0.29	-1.6E-02	-1.6E-02
Primary Renewable Material	-22	-1.2	-1.2
Primary Energy Renewable Not Feedstock	-2.1	-0.12	-0.12
Primary Energy Renewable Total	-2.1	-0.12	-0.12
Primary Energy Non-renewable Material	-9.6	-0.54	-0.54
Primary Non-renewable Energy Not Feedstock	-38	-2.1	-2.1
Primary Energy Non-renewable Total	-48	-2.6	-2.6
Outputs			
Hazardous Waste Disposed	-1.4E-03	-7.7E-05	-7.7E-05
Non-hazardous Waste Disposed	-0.10	-5.4E-03	-5.4E-03
Radioactive Waste Disposed	-3.8E-16	-2.1E-17	-2.1E-17
Components For Reuse	-3.3E-11	-1.8E-12	-1.8E-12
Material For Recycling	-15	-0.82	-0.82
Material For Energy Recovery	-4.0E-05	-2.2E-06	-2.2E-06
Exported Energy Electrical	0	0	0
Exported Energy Thermal	0	0	0

Results Cradle to Grave within the System Boundary

Table 3 lists A1 Resources, A2 Transport, A3 Manufacture, A4 Delivery, A5 Construct, B2 Maintain, B3 Repair, C1 Demolish, C2 Transport and C4 Disposal results. Modules B1 Use, B4 Replace, B5 Refurbish, B6 Water use, B7 energy use or C3 Processing waste had no flows or result.

Table 3 Impact & Input and Output Results/kg Functional Unit

Impacts	A1-3	A4	A5	B2	B3	C1	C2	C4
GWP_{BIO}	-2.3	-2.1	-0.11	-0.10	-1.7E-04	-7.0E-06	-5.4E-07	-4.1E-06
GWP_{LULUC}	2.4E-02	0.12	6.4E-03	6.5E-06	8.6E-03	1.0E-08	7.9E-10	6.0E-05
GWP_{FF}	3.9	3.8	0.20	0.71	9.4E-02	1.9E-03	6.1E-03	1.5E-02
GWP_{TOTAL}	1.6	1.7	0.10	0.61	9.2E-02	1.8E-03	6.1E-03	1.4E-02
ODP	1.7E-08	2.5E-08	1.4E-09	3.1E-09	8.9E-10	7.0E-17	1.1E-13	1.9E-12
POCP	1.5E-02	1.5E-02	7.6E-04	2.9E-03	2.8E-04	7.1E-06	6.0E-05	7.8E-05
AP	5.4E-03	5.7E-03	3.0E-04	1.2E-03	1.0E-04	3.2E-06	5.1E-06	2.6E-05
EP_{FRESH}	2.7E-06	5.8E-05	2.9E-06	6.4E-07	1.3E-08	3.5E-13	3.1E-10	6.9E-10
EP_{MARINE}	1.1E-03	1.1E-03	5.8E-05	2.0E-04	1.7E-05	1.0E-05	9.4E-07	4.7E-06
EP_{LAND}	2.0E-02	1.9E-02	9.1E-04	1.4E-03	7.8E-05	3.8E-06	3.2E-06	2.2E-05
ADP_{MIN}	7.2E-04	9.0E-04	0.12	0.52	5.7E-06	9.2E-12	4.0E-06	1.3E-06
ADP_{FF}	2.0	2.2	5.6E-05	3.1E-04	6.6E-02	7.9E-04	7.5E-03	1.1E-02
WDP	4.7E-03	3.6E-03	1.9E-04	9.7E-03	3.8E-05	5.8E-07	1.4E-06	4.7E-05
Inputs								
FW	2.9E-02	2.2E-02	1.2E-03	6.0E-02	2.3E-04	5.1E-07	8.7E-06	2.9E-04
SM	0	0	0	0	0	7.7E-17	5.9E-07	5.0E-02
RSF	0.21	10	0.44	0	8.5E-03	1.6E-05	1.7E-06	0
NRSF	5.5E-03	0.29	1.6E-02	1.7	2.0E-03	2.9E-04	9.2E-05	3.1E-04
PERM	2.0	25	1.2	4.2E-02	5.7E-02	2.0E-03	1.9E-03	1.8E-04
PERE	24	2.3	0.12	1.1	3.2E-02	3.9E-10	4.8E-04	4.8E-04
PERT	28	8.2E-03	1.3	1.1	8.9E-02	2.0E-03	2.4E-03	6.6E-04
PENRM	32	9.6	0.54	1.7	9.2E-02	2.0E-03	2.0E-04	3.8E-03
PENRE	31	40	2.1	7.4	0.43	2.5E-04	3.7E-02	7.4E-02
PENRT	63	50	2.6	9.1	0.52	1.6E-02	6.3E-02	3.2E-02
Outputs								
HWD	1.2E-03	2.0E-02	9.8E-04	9.9E-04	4.5E-05	6.5E-08	1.2E-05	1.4E-05
NHWD	0.12	0.13	6.5E-03	9.9E-02	0	1.7E-06	9.6E-05	0
RWD	3.1E-16	3.9E-16	2.3E-17	2.5E-17	2.7E-18	2.6E-13	5.1E-32	1.5E-20
CRU	5.2E-11	8.6E-11	4.8E-12	0	1.0E-06	8.1E-07	1.0E-05	3.2E-11
MFR	34	5.7E-03	0.00	7.6E-02	1.4E-03	2.0E-05	3.9E-06	3.4E-04
MER	2.8E-05	9.7E-05	5.6E-06	3.4E-05	2.0E-07	1.8E-03	1.5E-07	5.0E-08
EEE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EET	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Results for Module D: Beyond System Boundaries

Table 4 has results for benefit and loads in D1 reuse, D2 recovery and D3 recycling.

Table 4 D1 to D3 Impact & Inventory Results/Functional Unit

Result	D1	D2	D3
Climate Change biogenic	1.9	0.11	0.11
Climate Change luluc	-1.1E-01	-6.4E-03	-6.4E-03
Climate Change fossil	1.3	-0.07	-0.07
Climate Change total	3.1	2.8E-02	2.8E-02
Stratospheric Ozone Depletion	-2.1E-08	-1.2E-09	-1.2E-09
Photochemical Ozone Creation	-8.3E-03	-4.6E-04	-4.6E-04
Acidification Potential	-2.1E-03	-1.1E-04	-1.1E-04
Eutrophication Freshwater	-2.8E-06	-1.6E-07	-1.6E-07
Eutrophication Marine	-5.4E-04	-3.0E-05	-3.0E-05
Eutrophication Terrestrial	-2.1E-02	-1.2E-03	-1.2E-03
Mineral and Metal Depletion	-1.0E-03	-5.8E-05	-5.8E-05
Fossil Depletion	-2.1	-0.12	-0.12
Water Scarcity Depletion	-1.5E-03	-8.4E-05	-8.4E-05
Inputs			
Net Fresh Water Use	-9.3E-03	-5.2E-04	-5.2E-04
Secondary Material	0	0	0
Secondary Renewable Fuel	-7.8	-0.44	-0.44
Secondary Non-renewable Fuel	-0.29	-1.6E-02	-1.6E-02
Primary Renewable Material	-22	-1.2	-1.2
Primary Energy Renewable Not Feedstock	-2.1	-0.12	-0.12
Primary Energy Renewable Total	-24	-1.3	-1.3
Primary Energy Non-renewable Material	-9.6	-0.54	-0.54
Primary Non-renewable Energy Not Feedstock	-38	-2.1	-2.1
Primary Energy Non-renewable Total	-48	-2.6	-2.6
Outputs			
Hazardous Waste Disposed	-1.4E-03	-7.7E-05	-7.7E-05
Non-hazardous Waste Disposed	-0.10	-5.4E-03	-5.4E-03
Radioactive Waste Disposed	-3.8E-16	-2.1E-17	-2.1E-17
Components For Reuse	-3.3E-11	-1.8E-12	-1.8E-12
Material For Recycling	-15	-0.82	-0.82
Material For Energy Recovery	-4.0E-05	-2.2E-06	-2.2E-06
Exported Energy Electrical	0	0	0
Exported Energy Thermal	0	0	0

Interpretation

Figure 3. charts mass share of timber types in KLK European Hardwood Flooring range that varies with thickness. Thinner 14mm products have more Spruce core, much less European Oak top but only slightly less Spruce back veneer than 22mm thick ones.




Figure 3. Timber Components Mass Share/kg Product

Figure 4. charts synthetic polymer binder, filler UV cured primer, acrylic base coat and polyurethane (PUR) top coat mass share versus each product in this range. Thinner product has more synthetic, obvious in the binder but still significant for each one.




Figure 4. Synthetic Binder Filler & Coating Mass Share/kg Product

Figure 5. Charts the gross energy input and Global Warming Potential (GWP) output across this range. Use of biomass feedstock, fossil fuel and feedstock is consistent throughout. Thinner timber substrate product has higher GWP from greater share of fossil feedstock coatings per kg than thicker ones.




Figure 5. Energy Input & GWP Output Mass Share/kg Product

References

- [1] ISO 14025:2010 Environmental labels and declarations – Type III – environmental declarations - Principles and procedures
- [2] EN 15804:2012+A2:2019 Sustainability of construction works - Environmental product declarations - Core rules for the product category of construction products
- [3] GreenTag[™] 2023 EPD Program, Product Category Rules <https://www.globalgreentag.com/epd-program.html>
- [4] Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) 2013, Assessment Report 5 Climate Change, Ch 8 Anthropogenic and Natural Radiative Forcing, Global Warming Potential 100-year, <http://www.ipcc.ch>
- [5] WMO 2014, Ozone Depletion Potentials for Steady-state, Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion: 2014, Global Ozone Research and Monitoring Project Report No. 55, 2014
- [6] Van Zelm, R., Huijbregts, M., Hollander, H., Jaarsveld, H., Sauter, F., Struijs, J., Wijnen, H., Van de meent, D. 2008, European characterization factors for human health damage of PM10 and ozone in life cycle impact assessment, J O Atmospheric Environment 42(3):441-453, as applied in ReCiPe LOTOS-EUROS. DOI: [10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.09.072](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.09.072)
- [7] Seppälä, J., Posch, M., Johansson, M. and Hettelingh, J-P.(2006) Country-dependent Characterisation Factors for Acidification and Terrestrial Eutrophication Based on Accumulated Exceedance as an Impact Category Indicator, Int J LCA 11(6):403-416 DOI:[10.1065/lca2005.06.215](https://doi.org/10.1065/lca2005.06.215)
- [8] Posch, M., Seppälä, J., Hettelingh, J-P., and Johansson, M., (2008) The role of atmospheric dispersion models and ecosystem sensitivity in the determination of characterisation factors for acidifying and eutrophying emissions in LCIA, I J LCA 13(6). DOI:[10.1007/s11367-008-0025-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11367-008-0025-9)
- [9] Struijs, J., Beusen, A., van Jaarsveld, H. & Huijbregts, M.A.J. (2009b). Aquatic Eutrophication. Ch 6 in: Goedkoop, M., Heijungs, R., Huijbregts, M.A.J., De Schryver, A., Struijs, J., Van Zelm, R. (2009). ReCiPe 2008 A life cycle impact assessment method which comprises harmonised category indicators at the midpoint and the endpoint level. Report I: Characterisation factors, 1st Ed.
- [10] CML–IA V4.1 LCA methodology, 2002, October 2012, CML University of Leiden, Netherlands.
- [11] Guinée et al., 2002, and van Oers et al., 2002 CML LCA methodology 2002a, Institute of Environmental Sciences (CML), Faculty of Science, University of Leiden, Netherlands.
- [12] Boulay, A-M., et al (2018). The WULCA consensus characterization model for water scarcity footprints: assessing impacts of water consumption based on available water remaining (AWARE). I J of LCA. 23. 1-11. DOI [10.1007/s11367-017-1333-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11367-017-1333-8)
- [13] Ciroth A., Hildenbrand J., Zamagni A. & Foster C., 2015, Data Review Criteria. Annex A: LCI Dataset Review Criteria, 10.13140/RG.2.1.2383.4485 UN EP Life Cycle Initiative
- [14] Di Sacco, A., et al. (2021) Ten golden rules for reforestation to optimize carbon sequestration, biodiversity recovery & livelihood benefits. Global Change Biology, 277. DOI [10.1111/gcb.15498](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15498)
- [15] Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. 2021. Assessment Report 6 Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. <https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg1>
- [16] EN ISO 14024:2000, Environmental labels and declarations - Type I environmental labelling - Principles and procedures (ISO 14024:1999).
- [17] EN ISO 14040:2006, Environmental management - LCA - Principles and framework ISO14040:2006
- [18] EN 15643-1:2010, Sustainability of construction works - Sustainability assessment of buildings - Part 1: General framework.
- [19] EN 15643-2, Sustainability of construction works - Assessment of buildings - Part 2: Framework for the assessment of environmental performance.
- [20] ISO 21930:2007 Sustainability in building construction - Environmental declaration of building products.
- [21] ISO 21931-1:2010, Sustainability in building construction - Framework for methods of assessment of the environmental performance of construction works - Part 1: Buildings.

Life Cycle Assessment Method

LCA Author	LCA method is described at www.evah.institute	
Study Period	Factory data was collected from 2021 to 2024.	
LCA Method	Compliant with ISO 14040 and ISO 14044 Standards	
LCIA method	ReCiPe 2016 Life Cycle Impact Assessment (LCIA)	
Scope	Cradle to fate including all supply chain phases and stages depicted in Figure a.	
Phases	The LCA covered all known flows in all known stages cradle to end of life fate.	
Assumptions	Use is to typical Australian wildlife conservation professional practice.	
Scenarios	Use, cleaning, maintenance plus disposal and re-use were scenario-based using Facility Management Association denoted and published typical operations.	
Processes	<p>All known processes are included from resource acquisition, water, fuel & energy use, power generation & distribution, freight, refining, intermediates, manufacture, scrap re-use, packing and dispatch, installation, use, maintenance and landfill.</p> <p>All significant waste and emission flows from all supply chain operations used to make, pack and install the product are included.</p>	

Evah industry databases cover all known domestic and global scope 1 and 2 operations. They exclude scope 3 burdens from capital facilities, equipment churn, noise and dehydration as well as incidental activities and employee commuting. Electricity supply models in active databases are updated annually. As each project is modelled and new data is available the databases are updated. They are then audited by external Type 1 ecolabel certifiers. The databases exist in top zones of commercial global modelling and calculating engines. Quality control methods are applied to ensure:

- Coverage of place in time with all information for each dataset noted, checked and updated;
- Consistency to Evah guidelines for all process technology, transport and energy demand;
- Completeness of modeling based on in-house reports, literature and industry reviews;
- Plausibility in 2 way checks of LCI input and output flows of data checked for validity, plus
- Mathematical correctness of all calculations in mass and energy balance cross checks.

Data Sources Representativeness and Quality

Primary data used for modelling the state of art of each operation includes all known process for:

- Technology sequences; • Reliance on raw and recycled material;
- Energy and water use; • High and reduced process emissions;
- Landfill and effluent, plus • Freight and distribution systems.

Primary data is sourced from client annual reports and publications on corporate locations, logistics, technology use, market share, management systems, standards and commitment to improved environmental performance. Information on operations is also sourced from client:

- Supply chain mills, their technical manuals, corporate annual reports and sector experts, and
- Manufacturing specifications websites and factory site development license applications.

Background data is sourced from the International Energy Agency, IBISWorld, USGS Minerals, Franklin Associates, Plastics Europe, CML2, Simapro 9.5, EcolInvent 3.9 and NREL USLCI databases plus:

- Library, document, NPI and web searches, review papers, building manuals, and
- Global industry association and Government reports on best available technology (BAT).

For benchmarking, comparison and integrity checks inventory data is developed to represent BAT, business as usual and worst practice options with operations covering industry sector supply and infrastructure in Australia and overseas.

Such technology, performance and license conditions were modelled and evaluated across mining, farming, forestry, freight, infrastructure and manufacturing and building industry sectors since 1995.

As most sources do not provide estimates of accuracy, a pedigree matrix of uncertainty estimates to 95% confidence levels of Geometric Standard Deviation² (σ_g) is used to define quality as on page 3.

No data set with $>\pm 30\%$ uncertainty is used.

Supply Chain Modelling Assumptions

Australian building sector rules and Evah assumptions applied are defined in Table b.

Table b Scope Boundaries Assumptions and Metadata

Quality/Domain	National including Import and Export
Process Model	It is typical industry practice with currently most common or best (BAT) technology.
Resource flows	LCI uses regional data for resource mapping, fuels, energy, electricity and logistics.
Temporal	Project data collated over the previous 4 years represents averages over the last
Geography	Jurisdiction is of the declared client, site, regional, national, Pacific Rim then Europe.
Representation	It represents the declared client, their suppliers and energy providers to each cradle.
Consistency	All known operations are modelled according to operations with closest proximity.
Technology	Supply chains modelled are typical of recent Pacific Rim technology & practice.
Functional Unit	A 20 or 60 year period typical service life, use, cleaning & disposal/kg or m ² applies.
System Control	
Primary Sources	Client & supplier mills, publications, websites, specifications & manuals are used.
Other Sources	Recent IEA, GGT, Simapro, IBIS, EcolInvent sources used & cited in the LCA reports.
Data mix	Power grid and renewable shares are updated according to the latest IEA reports.
Operational	Company data is used for process performance, product share, waste & emissions.
Logistics	Local data is used for power, fuel mix, water supply, logistics share & capacity.
New Data Entry	New data is entered by current researchers at Malaika LCT, Evah and GGTI.
Data Generator	All via current manufacturers, Evah, GGTI, IBIS & others is cited & in LCA reports.
Data Publisher	Publishers include the Evah Institute, GGTI and designated clients only.
Contributors	All professional and personal contributors are cited in Evah & GGTI records.
Data Flow & Mix	
System Boundary	All known resources & emissions are modelled from Earth cradles to end of life fate.
System flows	All known flows are modelled from & to air, land, water & community sources & sinks.
Capital inclusions	Natural stocks Δ , industry stockpiles Δ , capital wear Δ , system losses and usage.
Arid Practice	Dry technology adopted; Water use is factored by 0.1 as for e.g. mining.
Transportation	Distance >20% than EU; >20% fuel efficient larger vehicles, load & distance.
Industrial	Company or industry sector data for manufacturing and minerals involved.
Mining	All raw material extraction is based on Australian or Pacific Rim technology.
Imported fuel	The fuel mix is from nearest sources such as UAE, SE Asia, Canada or New Zealand.
Finishes	Processing inputs with finishing burdens are factored in otherwise that is denoted.
Validation	
Accuracy	10 th generation study is ± 5 to 15% uncertain due to some background data.
Completeness	All significant operations are tracked and documented from the cradle to grave.
Precision	Tracking of >90% flows apply a 90:10 rule sequentially to 99.9% and beyond.
Allocation	All is allocated to co products on reaction stoichiometry by energetic or mass fraction.
Burdens	All known resource use from & emissions to community air land, water are included.
Plausibility	Results are checked and benchmarked against BAT, BAU & worst practice.
Sensitivity	Calculated U is reported & compared to Bath U RICE & EcolInvent libraries.
Validity Checks	Checks are versus Plastics Europe, Bath U RICE & or Industry LCA Literature.

Further and explanatory information is found at

<http://www.globalgreentag.com/>

or contact:

certification@globalgreentag.com



Global GreenTagCert™ EPD Program

© Copyright of this EPD remains the property of
Ecquate Pty Ltd: The Evah Institute and
Global GreenTag International Pty Ltd